

CRS Activity 510

Progress Report on Implementation of Credited Plan

Date this Report was Prepared: July 21, 2020

Name of Community: City of Hailey

Name of Plan: Blaine County Multi-Jurisdiction All Hazard Mitigation Plan (AHMP)

Date of Adoption of Plan: May 18, 2018

5 Year CRS Expiration Date: August 1, 2022

1. How can a copy of the original plan or area analysis report be obtained:
The Blaine County Multi-Jurisdiction All Hazard Mitigation Plan is posted on the City of Hailey's website at:
<https://www.haileycityhall.org/planning/documents/Blaine2015AHMPUpdateOct2018.pdf>
2. Describe how this evaluation report was prepared and how it was submitted to the governing body, released to the media, and made available to the public:
 - This evaluation report was prepared by City employee, Rebecca F. Bundy, CFM, by reviewing goals and action items in the AHMP to City actions;
 - It will be presented to the Hailey City Council at their July 27, 2020 meeting, it has been released to the local newspaper, Idaho Mountain Express.
 - It has also been posted on the City of Hailey's website.
3. Provide a description of the implementation of each recommendation or action item in the action plan or area analysis report, including a statement on how the project was implemented or not implemented during the previous year:
 - Goal: Continue to participate in the NFIP.
 - Objective: Protect property from flooding.
 - Projects:
 - Install culvert in south end of Quigley drainage – 2015
 - Determined not to be of immediate importance.
 - Assess Della View area drainage – 2015
 - Assessment completed with the Hailey Greenway Master Plan, in cooperation with the Wood River Land Trust, in April 2018, which, in addition to other topics, addressed the flooding issues in the Della View area: https://www.haileycityhall.org/planning/documents/2018-0423HGMPFINAL_000.pdf
 - Flood Protection Proposed Improvements plan created, 2017: <https://www.haileycityhall.org/planning/documents/HaileyMasterFloodPlan8-20-17.pdf>
 - The final designs for items 1A, 2, 3a, and 4a have been prepared, and construction is completed.

4. Discuss why any objectives were not reached or why implementation is behind schedule:
 - 3b – Detailed design identified this option as less desirable.
 - 4b – River channel restoration was too expensive and costs outweighed benefits.
Lack of community interest.
 - 5 – It was determined that an elevated berm in the Draper Preserve would cause unmitigated impact to private property.
 - 6 – Elevated homes – Lack of community interest.

5. What are the recommendations for new projects or revised recommendations?

The Master Plan recommends additional possible projects, including:

- Stream stabilization and restoration from Bullion Bridge to Bow Bridge.
- Recreation pond / sediment trap and floodplain reconnection in Lions Park.
- Stream stabilization and restoration from Bow Bridge through Heagle Park to address bedload migration.
- Floodplain reconnection and removal of tennis courts in Heagle Park.
- Stream stabilization and restoration from Heagle Park to Colorado Gulch.

These will be considered as funding becomes available and some may be included in the next AHMP plan update.